Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/973,140	HOCTOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Meless N. Zewdu	2617
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Meless N. Zewdu.	(3)	
(2) Tait R. Swanson (Reg. No. 48,226).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 May 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		• ,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
Meless Zewau		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Application (Application)	, the increase of tobicocitioning of	griataro ir appropriato,

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since "a plurality of beacon transmitter" in claim 1 does not correspond with the single beacon transmitter shown in figure 1, examiner requested applicant's representative to reconcile this dsicrepancy and the communication resulted in the modification of claim 1 via examiner's amendment (see attached examiner's amendment).

MiZ

5/3/07